

术著作

科学出版社

CIP

/	—	2020.1
ISBN 978-7-03-063805-2		
		N02
CIP	2019	280644

/

/

科学出版社

16

100717

<http://www.sciencep.com>

北京虎彩文化传播有限公司

*

2020 1 720 1000 B5

2020 1 17

260 000

98.00



20

40



/ 1

/ 2

/ 5

/ 18

/ 21

/ 21

/ 30

/ 37

/ 42

/ 50

/ 51

/ 51

/ 61

/ 65

/ 73

/ 82

/ 83

/ 83

		/ 91	
		/ 101	
		/ 113	
		/ 119	
/ 124			
	/ 125		
		/ 125	
		/ 132	
		/ 139	
		/ 146	
/ 156			
	/ 157		
			/ 157
		/ 168	
		/ 176	
		/ 182	
		/ 191	
/ 196			
	/ 197		
		/ 197	
		/ 203	
		/ 215	
/ 221			
			/ 223
	/ 223		
		/ 230	
		/ 237	
/ 243			
/ 244			
	/ 247		
/ 250			



2011

3 11

2001

9 11

20

002 | _____

20 80

Ulrich Beck

004 | _____

006 | _____

Goffi

La Philosophie de la Technique

Jean-Yves

20

William

Fielding Ogburn

1942 1948

Edward H. Spicer

Robert

Blauner

20

computer alienation

computer anxiety
stress

computer phobia

Timothy Jay

computer

1.

technophobia

technophobe

technophobic

technofear

technostress

techno-anxiety

techno-skepticism

1981

Computerphobia:

What to Do About It

M. M. Weil

L. D. Rosen

Brosnan M J. 1998. Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology. London and New York: Routledge: 12.

Brosnan M J. 1998. Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology. London and New York: Routledge: 13.

A. C. Raub

M. M. Maurer

M. J. Brosnan

B. Craig

2.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 17.

Craig B. 1984. *Technostress: The Human Cost of the Computer Revolution*. Boston Addison Wesley: 1-3, 242.

010 | _____•

boring

lonely

5.

7

6

6.

1

2

1989

22

18

Korukonda A R, Finn S. 2003. An investigation of framing and scaling as confounding variables in information outcomes: the case of technophobia. *Information Sciences* , 155(1-2): 79-88.

Pelgrum W J, Plomp T. 1991. *The Use of Computers in Education Worldwide: Results From The IEA 'Computers in Education' Survey in 19 Educational Systems*. Oxford: Pergamon Press: 8-12.

Russell G, Bradley G. 1997. Teachers' computer anxiety: implications for professional development. *Education and Information technologies*, 2(1): 17-30.

Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. *Pictorial Law, Modern Law and the Power of Pictures*. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 3.

Sayago S, Blat J. 2011. An ethnographical study of the accessibility barriers in the everyday interactions of older people with the web. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 10(4): 359-371.

7.

1.

1

. 2001.
. 2011.

, (4): 16-21.
, (1): 23-28.

2

3

4

016 | _____

2.

1

2

3

4

2001

1

2

3

4



The Quest for Certainty

Joel Mokyr

Knowledge Economy

The Gifts of Athena: Historical Origins of the

Technopoly

A. Feenberg


1.

ex nihilo

. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 30.
. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 30.

2.

. 2000. . . : : 6-7.
. 2007. : . . : : 13.
. 2000. . . : : 4.



Korukonda A R, Finn S. 2003. An investigation of framing and scaling as confounding variables in information outcomes: the case of technophobia. *Information Sciences*, 155(1-2): 79-88.

Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

1999 2003

technophobia

Institute for

Economic Research IFO

030 | _____

	technophobia		technophobe	technophobic
		technophobia	20	60
techno	phobia			
	technophobia	technophilia		
			technofear	
			technostress	
technoanxiety		techno-skepticism		
	technostress	cyberphobia		computer aversion
	computer anxiety			technophobia

Hanks P. 1986. Collins Dictionary of the English Language. London: William Collins Sons & Co. Ltd: 1564.

. 1991. (). : : 3575-3576.

Jay T. 1981. Computerphobia: what to do about it. *Educational Technology*, (21): 47.

Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 17.

Daniel Dinello

Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 44(4): 477-494.

Dinello D. 2005. *Technophobia: Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology*. Austin: University of Texas Press: 8.

Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

1.

Automated Teller Machine ATM

2.

technostress

3.

Aida R, Azlina A B, Balqis M. 2007. Techno stress: a study among academic and non academic staff//Dainoff M J. Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol.4566. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 118-124.

techno-anxiety


4.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 15.

. 2010. . . : : 31.

. 2010. . . : : 31.

. 2010. . . : : 31.



Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 44(4): 477-494.

Dinello D. 2005. *Technophobia: Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology*. Austin: University of Texas Press: 8.

. 2010. . . : : 25.

Quinn B A. The evolving psychology of online use: from computephobia to internet addiction.
[https://ttu-ir.tdl.org/handle/2346/489?show=full\[2013-08-12\]](https://ttu-ir.tdl.org/handle/2346/489?show=full[2013-08-12]).

Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. Caught between technophilia and technophobia: culture, technology and the law//Boehme-Neßler V. Pictorial Law: Modern Law and the Power of Pictures. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 1-18.

Aida R, Azlina A B, Balqis M. 2007. Techno stress: a study among academic and non academic staff//Dainoff M J. Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol.4566. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 118-124.

1-1

: 34.

: 20.

what

why

who

how

用户

特点



社会语境

复杂
易变
不确

特点



1-2

1-2

user

B. H. Loyd

C. Gressard

W. L. Gardiner

Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

Leonard N H, Leonard T L. Group cognitive style and computerphobia in functional business simulations. [https://journals.tdl.org/absel/index.php/absel/article/view/1292\[2019-10-09\]](https://journals.tdl.org/absel/index.php/absel/article/view/1292[2019-10-09]).

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.

, . 2001. , (4): 19.




1.

2.

1-3

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.




1-3

050 | _____



2-1




2-1

2-2

. 2000. . : : 3.
. 2000. . : : 2.

singleton techniques




2-2

. 2011. : , . : 20.

. 2000. : : 5.

, , . 2001. : : 132.




technique

techniques

. 2008.	.	:	:	120.		
,	,	,	. 2001.	:	:	130.
,	,	,	. 2001.	:	:	134-135.

2-4



Bruno Jacomy


Wahr-heit

. 2000. . 2.
. 2008. : : 305.
F. . 1986. , , , . : : 22.

060 | _____


@

Zμ XΨΪΌUg



2-6

. 2009. . , , . : : 13.
. 2008. . : : 120.
. 2008. : . , . :
: 32.



2-7

Transforming Technology: A Critical Theory Revisited

element

individual


T-systemz

2-8

2-9

99-100.	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	:
	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 100.
	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 100.
	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 102.

068 | _____




2-8

2-9

. 2009. . , , . : : 137.
. 2009. . , , . : : 155.

070 | _____

2-10



2-10

072 | _____

technology

techne techne

technology

. 1995. :
: 423.
. 2009. : : 1

Barry

Barnes

	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 59.
	. 2001.	.	.	.	:	:	: 22.
F.	. 1986.	.	,	,	,	:	: 62.
	. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:	: 37.

. 2009. . , , . : : 52.
F. . 1986. . , , . : : 3.
. 2007. : . : : 10.
. 2006. . , (2): 299-304.

. 2004.	:	.	.	:	:	1.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	:	1.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	:	2.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	:	2.

. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 3.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 4.
. 2010.	:	.	.	:	: 46.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 4.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 5.

. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 5.
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 6.
. 2010.	:	.	.	: 100.	
. 2004.	:	.	.	:	: 8.

2-11

社会语

2-11



R. , F. , D. , . 1999. : : 133.
. 2010. : : 59-60.

. 1987. : : 51.
. 1987. : : 169.
, . 1995. : : 214.

, 1995. ().
: 60.
E. , 2003. :
: 9.
. 2009. ,
(7): 37-42.
. 2000. : : 224
. 2003. : : 52.

1

. 1994. : 140.
. 1994. : 143.
. 2010. , (6): 71-75.

088 | _____

3

4

, . 2012. ().
: : 51.
. 2003. : : 46.
. 2005. , . : : 6.
, . 2004. H. A. , (4): 49-52.

090 | _____

5

QQ

30 20 20

-
- Morris A, Goodman J, Brading H. 2007. Internet use and non-use: views of older users. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 6(1): 43-57.
- Criel J, Geerts M, Claeys L, et al. 2011. Empowering elderly end-users for ambient programming: the tangible way//Riekkki J, Ylianttila M, Guo M. *Advances in Grid and Pervasive Computing. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 6646. Berlin: Springer: 94-104.
- Çoklar A N, Sahin Y L. 2011. Technostress levels of social network users based on ICTs in Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(2): 171-182.
- Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 18.

M. Sievert

5

ATM

ATM

3-2

3-2

15		20		21		30		31		40		41		50		51		60	
/		/%		/		/%		/		/%		/		/%		/		/%	
—	—	—	—	82	90.1	8	8.8	1	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
18	7.3	125	50.8	37	15.0	46	18.7	20	8.1	2	1.2	88	52.1	51	30.2	22	13.0	6	3.6
27	13.6	144	72.4	7	3.5	17	8.5	4	2.0	—	—	49	48.5	26	25.7	19	18.8	7	6.9
17	8.8	105	54.4	35	18.1	27	14.0	9	4.7	61	14.5	122	28.9	92	21.8	81	19.2	66	15.6
125	8.8	715	50.3	256	18.0	213	14.9	112	7.9										

100%

3-2

ATM

ATM

J. Anderson

V. Elder

J.

Dyck

J. Smither

1994

30

55

Brosnan M J. 1998. Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology. London and New York: Routledge: 18.

Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. Thunderbird International Business Review, 44(4): 477-494.

32% 41% 18
25% 60
18 39

80 90 00

3.06

3.32

3-3

3-3

Petrina S, Feng F, Kim J. 2008. Researching cognition and technology: how we learn across the lifespan. *International Journal Technology and Design Education*, 18(4): 375-396.

Çoklar A N, Sahin Y L. 2011. Technostress levels of social network users based on ICTs in Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(2): 171-182.

3-3

<i>n</i>	<i>f</i>	\bar{x}	Sd	df	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
132		3.32	0.71	285	3.036	0.003
155		3.06	0.73			

20

80

1983

2006

36%

21% 2008

18%

15%

Jackson L A, Ervin K S, Gardner P D, et al. 2001. Gender and the internet: women communicating and men searching . *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research*, 44(5-6): 363-379.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 22.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 22.

, . 2013.

, (9):11-17.

J. Kagan

99%

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 49.

Barua A. 2012. Gendering the digital body: women and computers. *AI & Society*, 27(4): 465-477.

Kimbrough D R. 1999. On-line "chat room" tutorials—an unusual gender bias in computer use. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 8(3): 227-234.

S. Dunnett

STS

Richardson H, French S. 2005. Opting out? Women and on-line learning. *Computers and Society*, 35(2): 2.
Jackson L A, Ervin K S, Gardner P D, et al. 2001. Gender and the internet: women communicating and men searching. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research*, 44(5-6): 363-379.
. 2007. . : 145.

. 2014. (), (3): 226-231.
Kotzé T G, Anderson O, Summerfield K. 2016. Technophobia: gender differences in the adoption of high-technology consumer products. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 47(1): 21-28.

Metlay

20 80

La Porte

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.

Koo C, Wati Y. 2011. What factors do really influence the level of technostress in organizations? An empirical study. *New Challenges for Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 14(11): 339-348.

20 90

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 123.

Hickey K D. 1992. Technostress in libraries and media centers: case studies and coping strategies. *Tech Trends: Linking Research and Practice to Improving Learning*, 37(2): 17-20.

, . 2017. , (6): 37-45.

65%

67%

C. J. , D. M. . 1995. :
, (9): 61-65.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 136.

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.



Kahn R L, Wolfe D M, Quinn R P, et al. 1965. Organizational stress: studies in role conflict and ambiguity. *American Sociological Review*, (10): 1.

, , . 2010.

, (4): 62-67.

Bloom

Wajcman

Kirkup

Ahmad

ATM CD

word

52.1%

20%

Ahmad J I. 2011. Technophobia phenomenon in higher educational institution: a case study. Penang Malaysia: 2011 IEEE Colloquium on Science and Engineering (CHUSER): 111-116.


Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 44(4): 477-494.

Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.

_____, _____ . 2006. _____ (_____) (3): 157-160.

			23
1/3	16		20%
10	13%		4
5%		2.5	
4%		55	
70%			

-
- F. . 2000. . . . , (1): 50-59.
- F. . 2000. . . . , (1): 50-59.
- . 2011. : . . . : 254.
- F. . 2000. . . . , (1): 50-59.
- . 2011. : . . . : 231-232.
- Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393.



Fass E. 1980. Ted Hughes: The Unaccommodated Universe. Santa Barbara: Black Sparrow Press: 186.

extraversion

agreeableness

conscientiousness

emotional stability

openness to experience

Appa Rao Korukonda

. 2011. : . , . : 257.
Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

self-efficacy

D. R.

Compeau

C. A. Higgins

Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.

Henry J W, Stone R W. 1997. The development and validation of computer self-efficacy and outcome expectancy scales in a nonvolitional context. *Behavior Research Methods Instruments & Computers*, 29(4): 519-527.

Koo C, Wati Y. 2011. What factors do really influence the level of technostress in organizations? An empirical study. *New Challenges for Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 14(11): 339-348.



F. . 1986. : : 62.
. 2000. : : 122.

technophile

. 2008. : : 147.
. 2008. : : 155.

. 2000.	:	.	.	:	: 2.
. 2000.	:	.	.	:	: 11.
. 2000.	:	.	.	:	: 12.

. 2008. : : 149.
. 2007. : : : 5.
. 2000. : : : 13.

A. Giddens

N. Luhmann


Charles P. 1994. Accidents in high-risk system. *Technology Studies*, (1): 25.

. 2011. , (6): 20-24.

. 2004. : : 59.

. 2010. : : 65.
. 2011. : : : :
257.
. 2011. : : : : 15.

. 2011. : . , . : :
241.
Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 44(4): 477-494.



K. Popper

Hickey K D. 1992. Technostress in libraries and media centers: case studies and coping strategies. *Tech Trends: Linking Research and Practice to Improving Learning*, 37(2): 17-20.

. 2008. . : : 145.

. 2005. . : : 337.

. 2000. : : 10.
. 1999. : : 246.

W. H. Hendrix

. 2005. . . . : 76.
. 1997. . . . : 438.

Koo C, Wati Y. 2011. What factors do really influence the level of technostress in organizations? An empirical study. *New Challenges for Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 14(11): 339-348.

9 11

. 2009.	.	,	,	.	:		: 11.
. 2010.	.	.	:			5.	
. 2010.	.	.	:			4.	

D. E. Stokes

2500

B-52

223-224.	. 2011.	:	.	,	.	:	:
224.	. 2011.	:	.	,	.	:	:
	. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:	:
	: 4.						

information communication technology

ICT

148 | _____•

1997

II

The 6th Day
Star Wars Episode II: Attack of the Clones

J. Rotblat

. 2006. , (10): 87-89.
. 1997. : 149.
. 2006. , (10): 87-89.

20

21

1945

1954

. 2011.
7-10.

. , (5):

21

2011

AP1000

. 2011-09-01. . , 4 .
. 2011. . , (5):
7-10.
. 1997. . : : 51.
. 2011-09-01. . , 4 .

9 11

F. .1986. . , , . : :48.
.2009. . , . :
: 159.



1986

1992

M. Douglas

S. Lash

Wouter Achterberg

1986

1999

_____ . 2005. _____ , _____ , _____ .
_____ : _____ : 1.

The Consequences of Modernity 1990

Modernity and Self-Identity 1991

Runaway World 1999

. 2004. . . : : 3.
. 2004. . . : : 19.
, , . 2001. . . :
: 5.
, . 2016. . . , (5): 35-40.

C. Lau

von Prittwitz

20 50

20

20

20 80

. 2004.	.	.	:	:	2.
. 2004.	.	.	:	:	3.
. 2004.	.	.	:	:	19.
. 2009.	.	.	:	:	(1): 143-148.

. 2008. : . , (6):
11-14.
. 2008. : . , (6):
11-14.

.2004. . . : : 15.
.2001. . . . : : 29.
.2004. . . . : : 18-19.

14 16

Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. Caught between technophilia and technophobia: culture, technology and the law//Boehme-Neßler V. Pictorial Law: Modern Law and the Power of Pictures. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 1-18.

. 2006. : 35.

. 2008. : 378.

170 | _____

1

2

3

4

. 2008. : . , . :
: 385.
. 2007. : . : : 12.
. 2008. : . , . :
: 383.

. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 387-388.					
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 389.					
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 391.					
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 391.					
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 392.					

. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 394.					
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 389.					
. 2007.	:	.	:	.	: 20.
. 2008.	:	.	,	.	:
: 389.					

William Wordsworth

Matthew

Arnold

, . 1995. : 277.

. 2007. : : 6.

. 2008. : , : : 397.

Dusek V. 2006. *Philosophy of Technology: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 181.

. 2007. : : 24-25.

A. Leopold

Sand County Almanac

Rachel Carson

Silent Spring

Limits

to Growth

The Matrix

The Terminator

Frankenstein

Jurassic Park

Avatar

The

Day the Earth Stood Still



. 2009.

: 159.

Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. Caught between technophilia and technophobia: culture, technology and the law//Boehme-Neßler V. Pictorial Law: Modern Law and the Power of Pictures. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 1-18.

megamachine

. 2008. : : 502.
. 2008. : : 505.
. 1997. : : 438.

1945

180 | _____•

. 2005. : : 359.
. 2011. : , . :
236-237.
. 2011. : , . :

18

20

.2000. : : 111.
.2004. : : 15.

. 2009.
: 208-209.
. 2009.
: 221.

. , . :
. , . :

. 2008.
. 1997.

. : : 24.
. : : 143

. 2009. : 132. . 2008. : . , . : . 2008. : . , . : . : 127.



1970

*Technophobia The Psychological Impact of Information
Technology*

2002 36.3%
visual display terminals VDT
VDT

F. . 1986. : 48.
Fukuta K, Koyama T, Uozumi T. 2005. Representation of visual fatigue during VDT work using bayesian network//Abraham A, Dote Y, Furuhashi T, et al. *Soft Computing as Transdisciplinary Science and Technology*. Advances in Soft Computing. Vol29. Berlin: Springer: 581-590.

S. Champion

ATM

Aida R, Azlina A B, Balqis M. 2007. Techno stress: a study among academic and non academic staff//Dainoff M J. *Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol.4566. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 118-124.

Aida R, Azlina A B, Balqis M. 2007. Techno stress: a study among academic and non academic staff//Dainoff M J. *Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol.4566. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 118-124.

Çoklar A N, Sahin Y L. 2011. Technostress levels of social network users based on ICTs in Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(2): 171-182.

Himma K E. 2007. The concept of information overload: a preliminary step in understanding the nature of a harmful information-related condition. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 9(4): 259-272.

200 | _____

ATM

ATM

ATM

ATM
ATM

ATM

ATM

83%

P. E. Brillhart

Hickey K D. 1992. Technostress in libraries and media centers: case studies and coping strategies. *Tech Trends: Linking Research and Practice to Improving Learning*, 37(2): 17-20.

Sinkovics R R, Stöttinger B, Schlegelmilch B B, et al. 2002. Reluctance to use technology-related products: development of a technophobia scale. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 44(4): 477-494.

Lin I M, Peper E. 2009. Psychophysiological patterns during cell phone text messaging: a preliminary study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34(1): 53-57.

50%

Çoklar A N, Sahin Y L. 2011. Technostress levels of social network users based on ICTs in Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(2): 171-182.

Himma K E. 2007. The concept of information overload: a preliminary step in understanding the nature of a harmful information-related condition. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 9(4): 259-272.

Koo C, Wati Y. 2011. What factors do really influence the level of technostress in organizations? An empirical study. *New Challenges for Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 14(11): 339-348.

. 2010. . . : 27.

Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge: 11.

Coppola A, Verneau F. 2014. An empirical analysis on technophobia/technophilia in consumer market segmentation. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 2(1):1-16.

, . 1993.
, (2): 33-42.

2015 12 16

Olson Mancur

, . 1993. .
, (2): 33-42.

. 2017. (). : : 535.

. 2010. . , (4): 30-31.

. 2011. : . , . : : 243.

18

19

. 2009. :
: 20. . 2009. : 254.

W. Cobbett

W. Blake

19

20

19

20 60

Dusek V. 2006. *Philosophy of Technology: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 177.

. 2008. : . , . :

: 396.

. 2011. : . , . : :

276.

Dusek V. 2006. *Philosophy of Technology: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 181.

. 2008. : . , . :

: 396.

. 2011. : . , . : :

277.

Dusek V. 2006. *Philosophy of Technology: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 181.

Luddite movement

18 19

19

20

1990

C. Glendinning

1968

1970 4 22 2000

20

1988

. 2005. :
: 16-17.
. 2005.
: 41.

6

21

21

1997

1987

1972

1992

216 | _____

19

20

20

F. . 1986. : : 15.
. 2004. : : 16.

Dinello D. 2005. *Technophobia: Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology*. Austin: University of Texas Press: 6.

218 | _____

C.

. 2011.		(), (2):
35-39.			
. 2008.	.	:	: 335.
. 2008.	.	:	: 32.
. 2008.	.	:	: 2.
. 2008.	.	:	: 324.
. 2008.	.	:	: 325.

threat

. 2008. : 327.
. 2011. (), (2):
35-39.



224 | _____•

1

2


3

4

5

18

20



J. Ziman

1


2


232 | _____

3

1831

Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. Caught between technophilia and technophobia: culture, technology and the law//Boehme-Neßler V. Pictorial Law: Modern Law and the Power of Pictures. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 1-18.





. 2004. : : 6.
F. . 1986. , , , : : 124.

. 2010. : : 77.
. 2007. : : : 5.



1

2

3

4


5

6

7

8

Arthur C. Clarke



. 2010.

F. . 1986.

. 2007.

. 2007.

, . 2005.

, 22(1): 10-13 .

. 1996.

. 2003.

, (3): 42-52.

, . 2002. , 19(1): 1-5.

, . 2006.

. 2009.

, (7): 37-42.

. 1999.

. 1998.

. 1999.

. 2008.

. 2001.

. 2001.

K. T. . 1986.

. 2008.

. 1999.

. 2007.

. 2004. , 18(7): 483-485.

. 2008.

, . 2018-08-13.

6 .

. 2001. , 17(3): 24-26.

, . 2005. , 23(6): 39-42.

. 2011.

. 2006. , (10): 87-89.

. 2011. (

), (2): 35-39.

. 2011. , (1): 23-28.

. 2011. , (6): 228-231.

. 2009.

- . 2017. , (1): 9-13.
- . 2018.
 , (4): 93-100.
- . 2009. :
- . 2010. ? , (7): 89-94.
- . 2011. , (7): 103-108.
 , 1995. ().
- :
 , , 2010.
 , 5(15): 250-252.
- . 2007. : . : .
- . 2016. , (8):
 37-41.
- . 2011. : , . :
- . 2004. : .
- R. , F. , D. , 1999. :
- . 2000. : .
- . 2010. : .
- , 2017. ,
 (6):37-45.
- , 2010.
 , (4): 62-67.
- , 2009. , (9):
 18-20.
- , 2010. , 25(1):
 46-50.
- . 2008. : . : .
- . 2003. , . :
- . 2011. , (5): 114-124.
- . 2001. : .
- . 1997. : .
- . 2006. , 22(11): 54-57.
- . 2000. , (2): 18-19.
- , , 2005. , (7):
 44-51.
- . 2001. , 23(5): 1-8.

- . 2002. : . , (1): 46-51.
- , . 2010. STS , (1): 46-51.
- , . 2000. : .
- . 1999. . , (1): 38-42.
- . 1993. : .
- . 2004. : .
- . 2002. , 19(3): 43-46.
- . 2008. : .
- . 2004. : .
- . 2004. , (10): 16-20.
- . 2005. : .
- . 2010. , (4): 30-31.
- , . 2011. , (12): 53-56.
- . 2008. : .
- . 2008. , , : .
- . 2005. , , .
- : .
- . 2004. : . : .
- . 2003. : . , 20(6): 37-40.
- , , . 2006. , (6): 57-61.
- . 2004. : .
- . 2008. , (3) : 1-5.
- , . 2001. , (4):19.
- . 1990. , (3): 31-35.
- . 2000. , 16(4): 23-26.
- . 2001. : .
- . 2002. , 24(1): 2-8.
- . 2004. , 8(3): 117-122.
- . 2004. , (6): 63-66.

Ahmad J I, Daud M S. 2011. Technophobia phenomenon in higher educational institution: a case study. Penang Malaysia: 2011 IEEE Colloquium on humanities, Science and Engineering: 111-116.

Aida R, Azlina A B, Balqis M. 2007. Techno stress: a study among academic and non academic staff//Dainoff M J. Ergonomics and Health Aspects of Work with Computers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol.4566. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 118-124.

- Barua A. 2012. Gendering the digital body: women and computers. *AI & Society*, 27(4): 465-477.
- Bird J. 1991. *Overcoming Technofear*. London: Management Today: 86-87.
- Boehme-Neßler V. 2011. *Pictorial Law, Modern Law and the Power of Pictures*. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 3.
- Brenner A, Gayon J. 2009. *French Studies in the Philosophy of Science*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Brosnan M J. 1998. *Technophobia: The Psychological Impact of Information Technology*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Brosnan M J, Thorpe S J. 2006. An evaluation of two clinically-derived treatments for technophobia. *Computers in Human Behavior*, (22): 1080-1095.
- Bruckman A. 1998. Community support for constructionist learning. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work: The Journal of Collaborative Computing*, 7(1-2): 47-86.
- Charles P. 1994. Accidents in high-risk system. *Technology Studies*, (1): 25.
- Cogan J M. 2002. Some philosophical thoughts on the nature of technology. *Knowledge, Technology & Policy*, 15(3): 93-99.
- Çoklar A N, Sahin Y L. 2011. Technostress levels of social network users based on ICTs in Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(2): 171-182.
- Coppola A, Verneau F. 2014. An empirical analysis on technophobia/technophilia in consumer market segmentation. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 2(1):1-16.
- Craig B. 1984. *Technostress: The Human Cost of the Computer Revolution*. Boston: Addison Wesley: 1-3, 242.
- Criel J, Geerts M, Claeys L, et al. 2011. Empowering elderly end-users for ambient programming: the tangible way//Riekkı J, Ylianttila M, Guo M. *Advances in Grid and Pervasive Computing*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 6646. Berlin: Springer: 94-104.
- Cuijpers P, Schuurmans J. 2007. Self-help interventions for anxiety disorders: an overview. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 9(4): 284-290.
- Dinello D. 2005. *Technophobia: Science Fiction Visions of Posthuman Technology*. Austin: University of Texas Press: 8.
- Dusek V. 2006. *Philosophy of Technology: An Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 181.
- Elaluf-Calderwood S, Sorensen C. 2006. *Organizational Agility with Mobile ICT? The Case of London Black Cab Work*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Fass E. 1980. *Ted Hughes: The Unaccommodated Universe*. Santa Barbara: Black Sparrow Press: 186. Frideres J S, Goldenberg S, Disanto J, et al. 1983. Technophobia: incidence and potential causal factors. *Social Indicators Research*, 13(4): 381-393. Fukuta K, Koyama T, Uozumi T. 2005. Representation of visual fatigue during VDT work using bayesian network//Abraham A, Dote Y, Furuhashi T, et al. *Soft Computing as Transdisciplinary Science and Technology*. *Advances in Soft Computing*. Vol29.

- Berlin: Springer: 581-590.
- Gruber S. 2004. The good, the bad, the complex: computers and composition in transition. *Computers and Composition*, 21(1): 15-28.
- Hanks P. 1986. *Collins Dictionary of the English Language*. London: William Collins Sons & Co. Ltd: 1564.
- Harris R M. 1997. Teaching, learning and information technology: attitudes towards computers among Hong Kong's faculty. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education* Fall, 9(1): 89-114.
- Hendrix W H, Summers T P, Leap T L, et al. 1995. Antecedents and organizational effectiveness outcomes of employee stress and health//Crandall R, Perrewé P L. *Series in Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*. Philadelphia: Taylor & Francis: 73-92.
- Henry J W, Stone R W. 1997. The development and validation of computer self-efficacy and outcome expectancy scales in a nonvolitional context. *Behavior Research Methods Instruments & Computers*, 29(4): 519-527.
- Hickey K D. 1992. Technostress in libraries and media centers: case studies and coping strategies. *Tech Trends: Linking Research and Practice to Improving Learning*, 37(2): 17-20.
- Himma K E. 2007. The concept of information overload: a preliminary step in understanding the nature of a harmful information-related condition. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 9(4): 259-272.
- Hogan M. 2008. Age Differences in Technophobia: An Irish Study//Wojtkowski W, Wojtkowski G, Lang M, et al. *Information Systems Development: Challenges in Practice, Theory, and Education*. Vol. 1. Boston: Springer: 117-130.
- Jackson L A, Ervin K S, Gardner P D, et al. 2001. Gender and the internet: women communicating and men searching. *Sex Roles*, 44(5-6): 363-379.
- Jay T. 1981. Computerphobia: what to do about it. *Educational Technology*, (21): 47.
- Kahn R L, Wolfe D M, Quinn R P, et al. 1965. Organizational stress: studies in role conflict and ambiguity. *American Sociological Review*, (10): 1.
- Khasawneh O Y. 2018. Technophobia without borders: the influence of technophobia and emotional intelligence on technology acceptance and the moderating influence of organizational climate. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 88(11): 210-218.
- Kimbrough D R. 1999. On-line "chat room" tutorials an unusual gender bias in computer use. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 8(3): 227-234.
- Koo C, Wati Y. 2011. What factors do really influence the level of technostress in organizations? An empirical study. *New Challenges for Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 14(11): 339-348.
- Korukonda A R, Finn S. 2003. An investigation of framing and scaling as confounding variables in information outcomes: the case of technophobia. *Information Sciences*, 155(1-2): 79-88.
- Korukonda A R. 2005. Personality, individual characteristic, and predisposition to

- technophobia: some answers, questions, and points to ponder about. *Information Sciences*, 170(2): 309-328.
- Kotzé T G, Anderson O, Summerfield K. 2016. Technophobia: gender differences in the adoption of high-technology consumer products. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 47(1): 21-28.
- Leonard N H, Leonard T L. Group cognitive style and computerphobia in functional business simulations. <https://journals.tdl.org/absel/index.php/absel/article/view/1292> [2019-10-09].
- Lin I M, Peper E. 2009. Psychophysiological patterns during cell phone text messaging: a preliminary study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34(1): 53-57.
- Llobera J R 2003. *An Invitation to Anthropology: The Structure, Evolution and Cultural Identity of Human Societies*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Lloyd M, Albion P. 2009. Altered geometry: a new angle on teacher technophobia. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 17(1): 65-84.
- Micera S, Carrozza M C. 2005. A simple robotic system for neurorehabilitation. *Autonomous Robots*, (19): 271-284.
- Mitcham C. 1994. *Thinking through technology: The path between engineering and philosophy*. Chicago: The university of Chicago Press.
- Morris A, Goodman J, Brading H. 2007. Internet use and non-use: views of older users. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 6(1): 43-57.
- Nahl D. 1996. *An Integrated Theory of Information Behavior: Taxonomic, Psychodynamic, Ethnomethodological*. Berlin: Springer.
- Pelgrum W J, Plomp T. 1991. *The Use of Computers in Education Worldwide: Results From The IEA "Computers in Education" Survey in 19 Educational Systems*. Oxford: Pergamon Press: 8-12.
- Petrina S, Feng F, Kim J. 2008. *Researching cognition and technology: how we learn across the lifespan*. egral

International Business Review, 44(4): 477-494.

Weil M M, Rosen L D. 1997. Technostress: coping with technology @Work @Home @Play. NewYork: John Weley Sons.

William S, Cooper L. 2002. Managing Workplace Stress: A Best Practice Blueprint. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.



